

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,446	09/14/2001	Robert M. Stacy	FMC-1031	6567
75	90 09/22/2003			
Pauley Petersen Kinne & Fejer Suite 365 2800 West Higgins Road Hoffman Estates, IL 60195			EXAMINER	
			COCKS, JOSIAH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	0
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/954,446	STACY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Josiah C. Cocks	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amendment filed 6/25/03</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-10,12-14 and 16-25 is/are pending in the application.						
€ \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5)						
· · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>2-10, 12-14, and 16-25</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	•				
	ion Papers	or cicculon requiremen	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 6/25/03 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 2-10, 12-14, and 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucke (US # 5,211,106) in view of Gebhardt et al. (US # 6,044,833) and Smith et al. (US # 4,965,435).

Art Unit: 3743

Lucke discloses in Figures 1-5 a cooking oven comprising a cooking chamber that includes a chamber air recirculation loop (60), a conveyor (22) (se col. 5, lines 48-55), an upper manifold and lower manifold (see upper and lower areas of housing 20) and a plurality of louvers/baffles (44 and 46) connected with respect to the upper and lower manifolds for controlling the air flow between the manifolds (see col. 4, lines 57-59).

In regard to the limitations of the claims reciting a plurality of louvers arranged in both the upper and lower manifolds, to have selected multiple louvers in each of the upper and lower manifolds would have been simply a matter of duplicating a known part (i.e. the louvers 44 and 46) and is not regarded as patentably distinct (see MPEP § 2144.04 (VI)(B)).

Lucke does not disclose a heat exchanger and specifically a curved heat exchanger, an adjustment arm for the louvers, or a plurality of interchangeable modules that contain a portion for the cooking chamber, conveyor and plurality of louvers.

Gebhardt et al. teaches a baking oven in the same field of endeavor of the oven of Lucke wherein the oven of Gebhardt et al. includes a curved/serpentine heat exchanger (12) in fluid communication with the cooking chamber and with an air recirculation system.

Smith et al. teaches a baking oven in the same field of endeavor of the oven of Lucke wherein the oven of Smith et al. includes a manual adjustment arm (250a) extending from the oven for external operation of a gate/louver (250) inside the oven. Smith et al. also teaches upper and lower manifolds that are in the form of a plurality of separate and individual modules (70). In regard to claims 10 and 23, it would be inherent that as the modules of Smith et al. are separate these modules would be replaceable and as they are identical (see Fig. 1) these modules would be interchangeable.

Art Unit: 3743

Therefore, in regard to claims 2-10, 12-14, and 16-25, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cooking oven of Lucke; to incorporate the curved/serpentine heat exchanger of Gebhardt et al. as such a heat exchanger desirably heats recirculated air supplied to the cooking chamber and the serpentine shape is particularly effective in transferring heat (see Gebhardt et al., col. 1, lines 33-50), and to incorporate the adjustment arm and individual modules of Smith et al. as the adjustment arm allows external manipulation of internal louvers to allow for control of air provided to air manifolds to adjust air velocity and temperature of upper and lower manifolds (see Smith et al., col. 5, lines 16-25, and col. 8, lines 16-28) and the use of a plurality of individual modules desirably allows for control of air through each module separate from the other modules (see Smith et al., col. 3, lines 7-28).

Page 4

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the cited references do not show an adjustment arm that opens a louver and correspondingly closes another louver. However, the examiner notes that the primary reference Lucke shows a plurality of louvers in the form of a first louver (44) controlling air flow to an upper manifold and a second louver (46) controlling air flow to a lower manifold. The Smith et al. reference is relied upon as a teaching reference as it shows a baking oven in the same field of endeavor as Lucke. In Smith et al., a single louver (250) is manipulated by means of an actuation arm (250a) to control air-flow to upper and lower manifolds. The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into

Art Unit: 3743

suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case, the examiner considers that a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider that, in a baking oven containing at least one louver for an upper manifold and at least one louver for a lower manifold, an actuation arm, such as that of *Smith et al.*, would function to open one louver and correspondingly close another to adjust the air flows between upper and lower manifolds.

Applicant also argues that the cited references do not show a plurality of louvers. However, as noted in item 4 above, the examiner considers the addition of multiple louvers to be simply a matter of duplication of the louvers (44 and 46) disclosed by *Lucke* and is not regarded as patentably distinct.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/954,446

Art Unit: 3743

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (703) 305-0450. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett, can be reached at (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-7764 for regular communications and (703) 305-3463 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

jcc

September 19, 2003

JOSIAH COCKS
PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3743